

THE LIFE OF PAUL MORPHY

Interesting Sketch of the World's Greatest Chess Player, Whose Life Has Been Little Understood Even by the Devotees of the Game of Games.

C. A. Buck of Toronto, Kansas, a Chess Enthusiast, is the Biographer of the American Who Achieved International Fame as Among the Masters.

The Gazette through the courtesy of C. A. Buck of Toronto, Kansas, one of the best known chess experts in the middle west, presents here an interesting and comprehensive biography of the late Paul Morphy, who ranked among the world's masters at the chess table.

YOUTH OF PAUL MORPHY.

The chronicles of chess, amplified as it is by a literature richer than that of any other game, offer to the student nothing to compare with the career of Paul Morphy, the game's greatest master. A number of biographies have been written, but none of them have succeeded as has Mr. Buck in gathering from authentic sources data and incidents in the life of Mr. Morphy, whose memory will endure as long as there is chess history.

SHOWED EARLY ABILITY.

Morphy's chess practice during his childhood was mainly with his father and his uncle, Ernest Morphy. He had the evidence of a keen aptitude for the game and was soon able to defeat them both, although his uncle especially was a strong player. His natural capacity for chess was shown in his scoring a victory over his father by moves in the openings before he had ever studied them.

ENGLISHMAN WAS AFRID.

Morphy went to England in June, 1858, to play Staunton, the representative of English chess, in a match which was held at London. He was defeated by Staunton. They met, however, in consultation play, Morphy's ally being Thomas Wilson Barnes and Staunton's confederate being Rev. J. Owen. Three games were played, and Morphy and Barnes won both. Morphy played a match with Lowenthal and won by a score of nine games to three, with two draws; also a match with Rev. J. Owen, at odds of pawn and move, winning five games and losing none with two draws.

1849 and 1850, and Morphy won nine-tenths of them. Regarding the games with Lowenthal, it is a curious circumstance that five years after Morphy's death there appeared in the Chess Review of Havana an apocryphal game wherein Morphy accepted the odds of pawn and move, the claim being made that the game was the third one of the series played with Lowenthal in 1839. The game had previously been submitted to no less a chess scholar than Max Lange who pronounced it genuine. There were several things, so it was claimed, that clothed this bogus game with verisimilitude, chiefly the fact that the three games played the scores of only two were preserved. Fortunately, however, for Morphy's reputation, Charles A. Maurian, than whom no one is better qualified to pass an opinion on anything pertaining to Morphy, has proved that Morphy did not accept odds on that occasion. The claim, notwithstanding Max Lange's support of it, has been utterly exploded.

HIS FIRST TOURNAMENT PLAY.

From his thirteenth to his twentieth year Morphy was devoted to his studies, but during his vacations, which were spent for the most part at home in New Orleans, he played chess with the strong amateurs of the city and with such players as he met in casual play there. Hence, when the first American chess congress convened in New York in October, 1857, his renown as a chess player had preceded him and he was the cynosure of the chess enthusiasts. He won the first prize by defeating Louis Paulsen in a match by a score of 15 to 8. The proposed match was for seven games up, but Staunton resigned after five games had been played, Morphy winning four and Staunton one. His victory was a dramatic episode that showed Morphy's growing sensitiveness to the "profession of chess." Colonel Charles D. Mead, president of the American Chess association, was chairman of the reception committee which succeeded Morphy, and in his address of welcome he made an allusion to chess as a profession, and referred to Morphy as its most brilliant exponent. Morphy took exception to this, and even by implication, and he resented it in such a way as to overwhelm Colonel Mead with confusion. Such was his mortification at this untoward event that he refused to participate in the Morphy demonstration. The Union Chess club of New York presented Morphy with a superb sterling silver wreath as a token of victory over all his chess opponents. Morphy gave a banquet at which Longfellow, Holmes, Lowell, Agassiz and many other eminent citizens were present to tender him their congratulations.

MORPHY AS AN EDITOR.

So great an interest did Morphy's achievements in chess in this country excite that Robert Bonnor, the enterprising publisher of the New York Ledger, started a chess column in his paper, and secured for it at once widespread popularity. Bonnor, who was then editor-in-chief at a salary of \$4,000 a year, paid in advance. The feature of the Ledger column was the publication of about fifteen of the games between De La Bourdonnais and McDonnell, annotated by Morphy. Morphy intended to publish all the games between these two masters, as he considered them the finest specimens of chess on record.

SHORTLY AFTER REACHING NEW ORLEANS MORPHY ISSUED A CHALLENGE.

Shortly after reaching New Orleans Morphy issued a challenge to any player who would play the odds of pawn and move to any player in the world, and receiving no response thereto he declared his career as a chess player finally and definitely closed. His isolation from the chess world at this juncture was complete, with Morphy eliminated would have been the most conspicuous player there. No result came of the challenge however.

UNHAPPY LOVE AFFAIR.

An incident may here be related as showing how Morphy was affected by the cross of his fate. He became enamored of a wealthy and handsome young lady in New Orleans and informed a mutual friend of the fact, who broached the subject to the lady, but she scorned the idea of marrying a "mere chess player." Small wonder that he became morbid and abandoned the practice of chess.

TO PARIS AND REMAINED ABOUT EIGHTEEN MONTHS.

During the ten years following his return from Europe in 1859 Morphy's practice of chess was limited to casual games with intimates, chiefly with his brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, and Armand de Riviere of Orleans. It is thought the total number of games played during these ten years would not exceed 75. The completeness of his abandonment of chess was attested by the fact that although the great international chess tournament of 1867 was going on in Paris during his third visit to that city he never once visited the scene of its exciting and splendid spectacle. He was, however, introduced to chess with anybody after the year 1869.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

DEEDS OF HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW.

Mr. Morphy's brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order. He was a member of the Louisiana Chess club, and was a member of the Catholic church. Those in the party that accompanied Morphy were his mother, his brother Edward, and his intimate friend, C. A. Maurian. When they returned against his intention with such evident sanity, and discussed his civil rights with such a learned knowledge of the law, that the Sisters in charge were afraid to assume the responsibility, and he was taken back to his home in New Orleans. During all these years of misfortune Morphy still loved chess, and kept up the current news of the game down to his death. But he was annoyed, and at times vexed, by the curiosity of the public, and this may seem rather contradictory, but it should be remembered that his experiences and environments were peculiar. It may be worth while to relate an episode which discloses Morphy's character in a way that is not often known. Under the pretense of assisting him with his suit, against his brother-in-law, a lawyer of New Orleans examined the papers in the case and gave his opinion that such an extent of publicity would be a great injury to Morphy. He was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

DEEDS OF HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW.

Mr. Morphy's brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order. He was a member of the Louisiana Chess club, and was a member of the Catholic church. Those in the party that accompanied Morphy were his mother, his brother Edward, and his intimate friend, C. A. Maurian. When they returned against his intention with such evident sanity, and discussed his civil rights with such a learned knowledge of the law, that the Sisters in charge were afraid to assume the responsibility, and he was taken back to his home in New Orleans. During all these years of misfortune Morphy still loved chess, and kept up the current news of the game down to his death. But he was annoyed, and at times vexed, by the curiosity of the public, and this may seem rather contradictory, but it should be remembered that his experiences and environments were peculiar. It may be worth while to relate an episode which discloses Morphy's character in a way that is not often known. Under the pretense of assisting him with his suit, against his brother-in-law, a lawyer of New Orleans examined the papers in the case and gave his opinion that such an extent of publicity would be a great injury to Morphy. He was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

TO PARIS AND REMAINED ABOUT EIGHTEEN MONTHS.

During the ten years following his return from Europe in 1859 Morphy's practice of chess was limited to casual games with intimates, chiefly with his brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, and Armand de Riviere of Orleans. It is thought the total number of games played during these ten years would not exceed 75. The completeness of his abandonment of chess was attested by the fact that although the great international chess tournament of 1867 was going on in Paris during his third visit to that city he never once visited the scene of its exciting and splendid spectacle. He was, however, introduced to chess with anybody after the year 1869.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

DEEDS OF HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW.

Mr. Morphy's brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order. He was a member of the Louisiana Chess club, and was a member of the Catholic church. Those in the party that accompanied Morphy were his mother, his brother Edward, and his intimate friend, C. A. Maurian. When they returned against his intention with such evident sanity, and discussed his civil rights with such a learned knowledge of the law, that the Sisters in charge were afraid to assume the responsibility, and he was taken back to his home in New Orleans. During all these years of misfortune Morphy still loved chess, and kept up the current news of the game down to his death. But he was annoyed, and at times vexed, by the curiosity of the public, and this may seem rather contradictory, but it should be remembered that his experiences and environments were peculiar. It may be worth while to relate an episode which discloses Morphy's character in a way that is not often known. Under the pretense of assisting him with his suit, against his brother-in-law, a lawyer of New Orleans examined the papers in the case and gave his opinion that such an extent of publicity would be a great injury to Morphy. He was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

DEEDS OF HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW.

Mr. Morphy's brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order. He was a member of the Louisiana Chess club, and was a member of the Catholic church. Those in the party that accompanied Morphy were his mother, his brother Edward, and his intimate friend, C. A. Maurian. When they returned against his intention with such evident sanity, and discussed his civil rights with such a learned knowledge of the law, that the Sisters in charge were afraid to assume the responsibility, and he was taken back to his home in New Orleans. During all these years of misfortune Morphy still loved chess, and kept up the current news of the game down to his death. But he was annoyed, and at times vexed, by the curiosity of the public, and this may seem rather contradictory, but it should be remembered that his experiences and environments were peculiar. It may be worth while to relate an episode which discloses Morphy's character in a way that is not often known. Under the pretense of assisting him with his suit, against his brother-in-law, a lawyer of New Orleans examined the papers in the case and gave his opinion that such an extent of publicity would be a great injury to Morphy. He was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

TO PARIS AND REMAINED ABOUT EIGHTEEN MONTHS.

During the ten years following his return from Europe in 1859 Morphy's practice of chess was limited to casual games with intimates, chiefly with his brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, and Armand de Riviere of Orleans. It is thought the total number of games played during these ten years would not exceed 75. The completeness of his abandonment of chess was attested by the fact that although the great international chess tournament of 1867 was going on in Paris during his third visit to that city he never once visited the scene of its exciting and splendid spectacle. He was, however, introduced to chess with anybody after the year 1869.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

DEEDS OF HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW.

Mr. Morphy's brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order. He was a member of the Louisiana Chess club, and was a member of the Catholic church. Those in the party that accompanied Morphy were his mother, his brother Edward, and his intimate friend, C. A. Maurian. When they returned against his intention with such evident sanity, and discussed his civil rights with such a learned knowledge of the law, that the Sisters in charge were afraid to assume the responsibility, and he was taken back to his home in New Orleans. During all these years of misfortune Morphy still loved chess, and kept up the current news of the game down to his death. But he was annoyed, and at times vexed, by the curiosity of the public, and this may seem rather contradictory, but it should be remembered that his experiences and environments were peculiar. It may be worth while to relate an episode which discloses Morphy's character in a way that is not often known. Under the pretense of assisting him with his suit, against his brother-in-law, a lawyer of New Orleans examined the papers in the case and gave his opinion that such an extent of publicity would be a great injury to Morphy. He was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

DEEDS OF HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW.

Mr. Morphy's brother-in-law, Louis Morphy, was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order. He was a member of the Louisiana Chess club, and was a member of the Catholic church. Those in the party that accompanied Morphy were his mother, his brother Edward, and his intimate friend, C. A. Maurian. When they returned against his intention with such evident sanity, and discussed his civil rights with such a learned knowledge of the law, that the Sisters in charge were afraid to assume the responsibility, and he was taken back to his home in New Orleans. During all these years of misfortune Morphy still loved chess, and kept up the current news of the game down to his death. But he was annoyed, and at times vexed, by the curiosity of the public, and this may seem rather contradictory, but it should be remembered that his experiences and environments were peculiar. It may be worth while to relate an episode which discloses Morphy's character in a way that is not often known. Under the pretense of assisting him with his suit, against his brother-in-law, a lawyer of New Orleans examined the papers in the case and gave his opinion that such an extent of publicity would be a great injury to Morphy. He was a man of great ability and was a chess player of the highest order.

HE BEGAN TO DISLIKE CHESS.

It was while in Paris, during the month of December, 1858, that Morphy's so-called aversion to chess began to manifest itself, and his feelings toward the game were aggravated in later years as he grew to positively dislike the game. This is a mistake. His experience in European chess circles was a revelation to him. He was a boy, inspired by the ardor, enthusiasm and high ideals of youth; and loving chess as he did, he was shocked and disgusted at the sordid conventionalities of chess practice that he there witnessed. He was repelled to him, and when he saw how the game was made a business of his disgust led him to forsake the haunts of chess. Morphy's ideas regarding chess for a moment entirely changed for the purpose of making any invidious comparisons, but simply to establish the fact that it was not chess that he grew to dislike, but the practice of it by those who would make a living out of it. He was an involuntary victim of his fame as a chess player, his ideas in this respect are important as explaining a peculiar phase of his character.

BANKRUPTCY COURT BUSY

SOMETHING OF THE BUSINESS DONE UNDER THAT LAW.

Local Attorney Comments on Some Interesting Phases of the Much-Condemed Measure—Deputy Clerk Stuart's Books Show Numerous Debtor's Dishonesty.

One of the most opaque laws of the many stern rules set down by our government is the bankruptcy law, which went into effect in July, 1893, and was an attempt by the legislators to cause a uniform rule for the entire nation and make the law uniform in every state in the union. It is all contained in a small paper pamphlet of but twenty-three pages, and yet there are few attorneys who are able to fathom all of its meaning. Bankruptcy proceedings involve a great amount of labor and no one receives any pecuniary benefit except the bankrupt. One cannot say this law was enacted for the benefit of the creditor, but it is a measure which might line their pockets with gold, as the fees are fixed by Uncle Sam and prove very poor pay for the amount of labor that must be performed. There is also what seems to be an error in the law, which might line their pockets with gold, as the fees are fixed by Uncle Sam and prove very poor pay for the amount of labor that must be performed.

OTHER ILLUSTRATIONS.

It is this type of men whom the law meant to protect. To show the other side of human nature a local attorney tells of a man in a hard place. My shoulders are burdened with debts which I never can pay and I have come to the conclusion that I could start life anew and do better. There is but one salvation, the bankruptcy court, but I am financially embarrassed and thought you might be able to get out of my debts I could start life anew and do better. There is but one salvation, the bankruptcy court, but I am financially embarrassed and thought you might be able to get out of my debts I could start life anew and do better.

WORK OF BANKRUPTCY LAW IN TEN COUNTIES THIS YEAR.

Table with columns for County, January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, December, Total. Rows include Linn, Johnson, Iowa, Cedar, Benton, Tama, Jones, Hardin, Grundy, Clinton.

SOME PHASES OF THE LAW.

There are two phases to the bankruptcy law, the voluntary and the involuntary. The voluntary is that in which a man who is unable to pay his debts files a petition for discharge. The involuntary is that in which a creditor files a petition for the liquidation of a debtor's assets. The law is designed to protect the interests of the creditors and to provide a fair and equitable distribution of the debtor's assets. It is a complex system and one that requires a thorough understanding of the law to navigate successfully.

The Chess Corner. Below we give the game won by Claude H. Coyle of Humboldt, Iowa, from Frank Backley of Monticello. The game was played on a board of the second Iowa correspondence tournament and will emerge from the preliminary round with nearly, if not a clear sweep. In sending in the game he enclosed a few notes which, while brief, are so concise and to the point that we could not refrain from using them.

White: Evans Gambit. Black: Coyle. 1. P-K4. 2. Kt-K3. 3. B-B4. 4. P-Q4. 5. P-Q4. 6. P-Q4. 7. Castles. 8. P-Q3. 9. Kt-B3. 10. P-K5. 11. Kt-Q2. 12. K-Q5. 13. B-Q3. 14. Kt-K3. 15. Kt-K3. 16. Kt-K3. 17. Kt-K3. 18. P-K6. 19. R-P. 20. Q-R5. 21. Kt-K5. 22. Kt-K5. 23. R-Q. 24. R-Q. 25. Q-Q5. 26. R-K3. 27. Q-K5. 28. R-K3. 29. R-K3. 30. Resigns.

CHESS NOTES.

- (a) White selected 11, BxKt, etc., believing it would give him a better game. The result, however, was not what he expected, although it did not seem to give the attack that the Evans should. (b) Threatening mate. (c) Usually an attack on a castled king of three or four pieces is enough to win, but this was an exception. (d) Winning the queen under penalty of mate in four moves. The play eventually leaves black with a rook and two bishops against a queen, which is a losing position. (e) Black threatens RXP and there seems no satisfactory reply.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL R. R.-OF INTEREST TO STOCKHOLDERS-FREE TRANSPORTATION TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING AT CHICAGO.

The Illinois Central Railroad Company, of Chicago, Illinois, has the honor to announce that a special meeting of the stockholders of the Illinois Central Railroad Company will be held at the company's office in Chicago, Illinois, on Saturday, January 26, 1901, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon. To permit personal attendance at this meeting there will be issued, to each holder of one or more shares of the capital stock of the Illinois Central Railroad Company, as registered on the books of the company, a ticket enabling him or her to travel free over the company's lines from the station on the Illinois Central Railroad nearest to his or her registered address to Chicago and return, such ticket to be good for the journey to Chicago only during the four days immediately preceding, and the day of the meeting, and for the return journey from Chicago only on the day of the meeting, and the four days immediately following, when properly countersigned, as shown on the business hours—that is to say, between 9 a. m. and 5 p. m.—in the office of the assistant secretary, Mr. W. G. Bruen, in Chicago. Such ticket may be obtained by any registered holder of stock in application, in writing, to the president of the company in Chicago. Each applicant must state the full name and address of the stockholder exactly as given in his or her certificate of stock, together with the number and date of such certificate. No more than one person will be carried free in respect to any one holding of stock as registered on the books of the company. For the purposes of this meeting the stock transfer books will be closed at 2 o'clock p. m., Thursday, December 20, 1900, and remain closed until the morning of Monday, January 7, 1901. A. G. HACKSTADT, Secretary.

creditors can force their debtor into the court and have an equal settlement for their accounts per ratio. This is the involuntary act and it is considered by lawyers and judges as a whole just and equitable.

"Now comes that portion which is so generally abused, the section of which so many take advantage. To ward off the host or honest creditors who are trapped into their net, a cloak which covers the dishonesty of a vast multitude, a shield that protects the worthy few. A man may pile up any amount of debts, if his credit is good, spend all his money in riotous living or otherwise, enjoy all the luxuries of life for which he can get trusted; become indebted to the greatest extent and then go through the court of bankruptcy, have his debt wiped out and all it will cost him is a paltry sum. One of the most opaque laws of the many stern rules set down by our government is the bankruptcy law, which went into effect in July, 1893, and was an attempt by the legislators to cause a uniform rule for the entire nation and make the law uniform in every state in the union. It is all contained in a small paper pamphlet of but twenty-three pages, and yet there are few attorneys who are able to fathom all of its meaning. Bankruptcy proceedings involve a great amount of labor and no one receives any pecuniary benefit except the bankrupt. One cannot say this law was enacted for the benefit of the creditor, but it is a measure which might line their pockets with gold, as the fees are fixed by Uncle Sam and prove very poor pay for the amount of labor that must be performed. There is also what seems to be an error in the law, which might line their pockets with gold, as the fees are fixed by Uncle Sam and prove very poor pay for the amount of labor that must be performed.

OTHER ILLUSTRATIONS.

It is this type of men whom the law meant to protect. To show the other side of human nature a local attorney tells of a man in a hard place. My shoulders are burdened with debts which I never can pay and I have come to the conclusion that I could start life anew and do better. There is but one salvation, the bankruptcy court, but I am financially embarrassed and thought you might be able to get out of my debts I could start life anew and do better. There is but one salvation, the bankruptcy court, but I am financially embarrassed and thought you might be able to get out of my debts I could start life anew and do better.

WORK OF BANKRUPTCY LAW IN TEN COUNTIES THIS YEAR.

Table with columns for County, January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, December, Total. Rows include Linn, Johnson, Iowa, Cedar, Benton, Tama, Jones, Hardin, Grundy, Clinton.

SOME PHASES OF THE LAW.

There are two phases to the bankruptcy law, the voluntary and the involuntary. The voluntary is that in which a man who is unable to pay his debts files a petition for discharge. The involuntary is that in which a creditor files a petition for the liquidation of a debtor's assets. The law is designed to protect the interests of the creditors and to provide a fair and equitable distribution of the debtor's assets. It is a complex system and one that requires a thorough understanding of the law to navigate successfully.

Excursion Rates to Cedar Rapids.

For the annual exhibition, Western Poultry Fanciers' association at Cedar Rapids, Jan. 7-19, excursion tickets at very favorable rates will be sold via the C. & N. Ry. Full information may be obtained upon application to agents of the "Cedar Rapids Route" within 100 miles of Cedar Rapids.

Hammond, Louisiana an Ideal Health and Winter Resort.

The passenger department of the Illinois Central Railroad company has just issued a new edition of "Hammond, Louisiana, as a Winter Resort," a beautiful illustrated folder which will give a full and complete description of Hammond, Louisiana, and about Hammond, copies of which will be mailed free on application to the undersigned. For those in good or moderate circumstances, no point in the south offers such inducement. The climate is invigorating, the artesian water excellent. Society almost entirely northern, and the hotel and boarding house accommodations far superior to any town of its size in the north; and at moderate rates. J. F. MERRY, Asst. Gen. Pass. Agt., Ill. Cent. R. R., Dibucue, Iowa.